

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/682,280	08/13/2001	Carrie Brownhill	30GF9094	4830
7:	590 04/21/2005		EXAMINER	
Gregory W. Carr			NGUYEN, THU HA T	
Carr & Storm, L.L.P. 900 Jackson Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
670 Founders S			2155	
Dallas, TX 75			DATE MAILED: 04/21/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)
	0:	9/682,280	BROWNHILL ET AL.
Office Action Sum	mary Ex	caminer	Art Unit
	Th	iu Ha T. Nguyen	2155
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply			n the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY P THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date. - If the period for reply specified above is less. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the. - Failure to reply within the set or extended per period of the control o	OMMUNICATION. ne provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) of this communication. than thirty (30) days, a reply with maximum statutory period will ap riod for reply will, by statute, caus ree months after the mailing date	In no event, however, may a repin the statutory minimum of thirty ply and will expire SIX (6) MONT se the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1) Responsive to communicate	ion(s) filed on <u>18 Octob</u>	<u>er 2004</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b)☐ This act	ion is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in	condition for allowance	except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with	he practice under <i>Ex p</i> a	arte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-10</u> is/ar	e pending in the applica	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) _			
5) Claim(s) is/are allow			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-10</u> is/ar			
7) Claim(s) is/are object	•		
8) Claim(s) are subject		ection requirement.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected	to by the Eveniner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on	•	d or h) Tobioatod to h	, the Evenines
1			
Applicant may not request tha			
l) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is of	bjected to by the Exami	ner. Note the attached	Office Action of form P10-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made o	f a claim for foreign pric	ority under 35 U.S.C. §	l19(a)-(d) or (f).
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ N	one of:		
1. ☐ Certified copies of th	e priority documents ha	ve been received.	
2. Certified copies of the	e priority documents ha	ve been received in Ap	plication No
3.☐ Copies of the certifie	d copies of the priority of	locuments have been re	eceived in this National Stage
application from the	nternational Bureau (Po	CT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Of	fice action for a list of the	ne certified copies not re	eceived.
and the second s			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing		Paper No(s)/	Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P1 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	O-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) .
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action	Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050413

Application/Control Number: 09/682,280 Page 2

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims **1-6 and 8-10** are presented for examination.

2. Claims 7, 11 and 12 have been withdrawn from consideration in a previous office action for election/restriction.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, and 8-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-4, 6, and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over **Logue** U.S. Patent No. **6,330606**, in view of **Diefes et al.** (hereinafter Diefes) U.S. Patent No. **5,534,875**.
- 6. As to claim 1, **Logue** teaches the invention as claimed, including a service-portal automation control module (ACM), comprising:

,1

Application/Control Number: 09/682,280

Art Unit: 2155

a first central processing unit (CPU) configured for processing automation control signals (figure 3, element 55, figure 4, element 430 – the NIC 55);

a first memory operably connected to said first CPU (figure 4, elements 420, 440);

a second CPU operably connected to said first CPU (figure 3, element 50, figure 4, element 410);

a second memory operably connected to said second CPU for storing a service-portal database (figure 4, elements 461, 465, 425) containing a first set of service-portal data and one or more links to a second set of service-portal data stored in a remote network server (figure 4, elements 461, 465, 425, 480, col. 5, lines 5-col. 6lines 67); and

a first network interface operably connected to said second CPU (figures 3, 4, element 54) and to a gateway configured for enabling said second CPU to communicate with said remote network server (figures 1, 3, 4, col. 3, lines 40-50 – the modem pool 2 coupled through a router (which can be interpreted as gateway) interfaces between proxy 405 and remote site administrator 480 (which can be read as remote network server)).

However, **Logue** does not explicitly teach a first central processing unit (CPU) configured for receiving telemetry data. **Diefes** teaches a central processing unit configured for receiving telemetry data (col. 4, line 62-col. 5, line 15, col. 7, lines 30-48). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of **Logue and Diefes** to include the

Application/Control Number: 09/682,280 Page 4

Art Unit: 2155

feature of CPU configured for receiving telemetry data because it would provide an efficient communication system that reduces error from the received telemetry data and electronic signal.

- 7. As to claim 3, **Logue** teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said first memory stores a configuration file containing specific information on said service-portal ACM (col. 5, lines 5-col. 6, lines 67, col. 7, lines 40-col. 8 lines 14).
- 8. As to claim 4, **Logue** teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said second CPU is configured for functioning as a network server (figures 3-4).
- 9. As to claim 6, **Logue** teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said gateway is configured for enabling said second CPU to communicate with said remote network server via Internet (figures 1, 3, 4, col. 3, lines 40-50 the modem pool 2 coupled through a router (which can be interpreted as gateway) interfaces between proxy 405 and remote site administrator 480 (which can be read as remote network server)).
- 10. As to claim 8, **Logue** teaches the invention as claimed, further comprising a user interface operably connected to said second CPU and configured for enabling a user to request said first set of service-portal data from said second memory and said

Application/Control Number: 09/682,280 Page 5

Art Unit: 2155

second set of service-portal data from said remote network server (figures 1, 4, elements 461, 465, 425, 480, col. 5, lines 5-col. 6lines 67).

- 11. As to claim 9, **Logue** teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said second memory is configured for loading at least one Web browser to open Web pages stored in said second memory (figures 2, 4, col. 3, lines 63-col. 4, lines 15, col. 5 lines 5-66).
- 12. As to claim 10, **Logue** teaches the invention as claimed, further comprising: a third CPU operably connected to said gateway and configured for communicating with said second CPU and said remote network server via said gateway; and a third memory operably connected to said third CPU and configured for loading at least one Web browser to open Web pages stored in said second memory (figures 2, 4, col. 3, lines 63-col. 4, lines 15, col. 5 lines 5-66, col. 9, lines 55-col. 10 lines 29, col. 11, lines 25-27). WebTV client 1 having hardware/software for providing user with user interface to access network service, browse the web, access the Internet, it deems to be inherent that WebTV client 1 have a CPU, a memory in order to process request, browse the web and load the desired web page.
- 13. Claims 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over **Logue** U.S. Patent No. **6,330606**, in view of **Stephens**, **Jr.** U.S. Patent No. **6,557,026**.

Application/Control Number: 09/682,280

Art Unit: 2155

Page 6

- 14. As to claim 2, **Logue** does not explicitly teach the invention as claimed; however, **Stephens**, **Jr**. teaches: a backplane interface operably connected to said first CPU; an ACM backplane operably connected to said backplane interface; and an interface module operably connected to said ACM backplane (figure 1A, col. 5, lines 38-col. 6 lines 67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of **Logue and Stephens**, **Jr**. to include backplane interface and interface module because it would provide an efficient communications system that can monitor and control the standard peripheral devices.
- 15. As to claim 5, **Logue** teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said first network interface supports one or more low-level protocols including TCP/IP protocol (figure 1-4, col. 5, lines 17-30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that **Logue** teaches that client and server establish a connection so that they can send message back and forth which is obvious to one skill in the art that the system using different protocols including TCP/IP protocol because it would provide an efficient system that for data transmission over networks.

Conclusion

16. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Ha Nguyen, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3989. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain T. Alam, can be reached at (571) 272-3978.

Application/Control Number: 09/682,280

Art Unit: 2155

Page 8

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications.

Thu Ha Nguyen

April 13, 2005

HOSAIN ALAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER